

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,064	03/09/2005	Hendrik Middeljans	122217	5125
25944 7590 11/25/2908 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			EXAMINER	
			TENTONI, LEO B	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner Leo B. Tentoni 1791							
Leo B. Tentoni 1791	Interview Summary	· ·					
(1) Leo B. Tentoni: (2) Andrew Whitehead Rea, No. 61,999. (4)			1791				
(2) Andrew Whitehead Reg. No. 61,989. (4) Date of Interview: 21 November 2008. Type: a) □ Telephonic b) □ Video Conference c) □ Personal (copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) □ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes e) □ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1-11 and 16. Identification of prior art discussed: 0kubo et al (UP 11061550 A), Hutter et al (U.S. Patent 6,551,545 B1). Agreement with respect to the claims f) □ was reached. g) □ was not reached. h) □ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed previous Office Action (mailed on 21 May 2008), especially the rejection of claims 1-11 and 16 under 35 USC 103(a). Also discussed that the cooling in the second cooling zone (see instant claim 1) is through self-suction (i.e., a passive cooling) of the gaseous cooling medium surrounding the filament bundle. Examiner will consider timely-filed amendments and/or remerks. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. The proper of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available. A summary thereof must be attached. The proper of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available. The proper of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. The proper of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. The proper of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. The proper of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof mus	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
Date of Interview: 21 November 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative) Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1-11 and 16. Identification of prior art discussed: Okubo et al (UP 11061550 A), Hutter et al (U.S. Patent 6.551.545 B1). Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed previous Office Action (mailed on 21 May 2008), especially the rejection of claims 1-11 and 16 under 35 USC 103(a). Also discussed that the cooling in the second cooling zone (see instant claim 1) is through self-suction (i.e., a passive cooling) of the gaseous cooling medium surrounding the filament bundle. Examiner will consider timely-filed amendments and/or remarks. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	(1) <u>Leo B. Tentoni</u> .	(3)					
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1-11 and 16. Identification of prior art discussed: Okubo et al (JP 11061550 A), Hutter et al (U.S. Patent 6.551.545 B1). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed previous Office Action (mailed on 21 May 2006), especially the rejection of claims 1-11 and 16 under 35 USC 103(a). Also discussed that the coolina in the second cooling zone (see instant claim 1) is through self-suction (i.e., a passive cooling) of the asseous coolina medium surrounding the filament bundle. Examiner will consider timely-filed amendments and/or remarks. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERNOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW SONE, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	(2) Andrew Whitehead Reg. No. 61,989.	(4)					
c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative) Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1-11 and 16. Identification of prior art discussed: Okubo et al (JP 11061550 A), Hutter et al (U.S. Patent 6.551.545 B1). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed previous Office Action (mailed on 21 Mav 2009), especially the rejection of claims 1-11 and 16 under 35 USC 103(a). Also discussed that the cooling in the second cooling zone (see instant claim 1) is through self-suction (i.e. a, passive cooling) of the gaseous cooling medium surrounding the filament bundle. Examiner will consider timely-filed amendments and/or remarks. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Date of Interview: 21 November 2008.						
If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1-11 and 16. Identification of prior art discussed: Okubo et al (JP 11061550 A), Hutter et al (U.S. Patent 6.551.545 B1). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed previous Office Action (mailed on 21 May 2008), especially the rejection of claims 1-11 and 16 under 35 USC 103(a). Also discussed that the cooling in the second cooling zone (see instant claim 1) is through self-suction (i.e., a passive cooling) of the gaseous cooling medium surrounding the filament bundle. Examiner will consider timely-filed amendments and/or remarks. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04), if a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
Identification of prior art discussed: Okubo et al (JP 11061550 A), Hutter et al (U.S. Patent 6,551,545 B1). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed previous Office Action (mailed on 21 Mav 2008), especially the rejection of claims 1-11 and 16 under 35 USC 103(a). Also discussed that the cooling in the second cooling zone (see instant claim 1) is through self-suction (i.e., a passive cooling) of the gaseous cooling medium surrounding the filament bundle. Examiner will consider timely-filed amendments and/or remarks. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUBE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed previous Office Action (mailed on 21 May 2008), especially the rejection of claims 1-11 and 16 under 35 USC 103(a). Also discussed that the cooling in the second cooling zone (see instant claim 1) is through self-suction (i.e., a passive cooling) of the gaseous cooling medium surrounding the filament bundle. Examiner will consider timely-filed amendments and/or remarks. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Claim(s) discussed: 1-11 and 16.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. <i>Discussed previous Office Action (mailed on 21 May 2008)</i> , especially the rejection of claims 1-11 and 16 under 35 USC 103(a). Also discussed that the cooling in the second cooling zone (see instant claim 1) is through self-suction (i.e., a passive cooling) of the gaseous cooling medium surrounding the filament bundle. Examiner will consider timely-filed amendments and/or remarks. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. SWIMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Identification of prior art discussed: Okubo et al (JP 11061550 A), Hutter et al (U.S. Patent 6.551,545 B1).						
reached, or any other comments: Discussed previous Office Action (mailed on 21 May 2008), especially the rejection of claims 1-11 and 16 under 35 USC 103(a). Also discussed that the cooling in the second cooling zone (see instant claim 1) is through self-suction (i.e., a passive cooling) of the gaseous cooling medium surrounding the filament bundle. Examiner will consider timely-filed amendments and/or remarks. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.						
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed previous Office Action (mailed on 21 May 2008)</u> , <u>especially the rejection</u> of claims 1-11 and 16 under 35 USC 103(a). Also discussed that the cooling in the second cooling zone (see instant claim 1) is through self-suction (i.e., a passive cooling) of the gaseous cooling medium surrounding the filament						
INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILLE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no o	copy of the amendments that v					
/Leo B. Tentoni/	INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview						
/Leo B. Tentoni/							
/Leo B. Tentoni/							
/Leo B. Tentoni/							
/Leo B. Tentoni/							
	/l.eg.B. Tentoni/						